NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION SIX

In re D.C., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law. 2d Juv. No. B294804 (Super. Ct. No. 2018008393) (Ventura County)

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

D.C.,

section 602.

Defendant and Appellant.

D.C., a minor, appeals from an order adjudicating him a ward of the court under Welfare and Institutions $Code^1$

D.C. and the victim were both staying at D.C.'s friend's house. During the night, D.C. woke up and allegedly saw

¹ Further unspecified statutory references are to the Welfare and Institutions Code.

the victim molesting his friend. D.C. went to the kitchen, grabbed a knife, and stabbed the victim three times (twice in the ribcage and once in the temple). The victim required six sutures on the ribcage and five sutures on the temple.

D.C. admitted the allegations that he committed assault with a deadly weapon (Pen. Code, § 245, subd. (a)(1)) and inflicted great bodily injury (Pen. Code, § 12022.7, subd. (a)). He also admitted two probation violations. The juvenile court terminated his probation and continued him as a ward of the court under section 602. It committed him to the Division of Juvenile Justice for a total of seven years (four years for assault and three years for the great bodily injury enhancement). The court ordered a total of \$1,150 in restitution fines in eight cases including the current case, but it stayed the fines pursuant to *People v. Dueñas* (2019) 30 Cal.App.5th 1157.

We appointed counsel to represent D.C. in this appeal. After counsel's examination of the record, he filed an opening brief raising no issues. On May 30, 2019, we advised D.C. that he had 30 days within which to personally submit any contentions or issues that he wished to raise on appeal. We have not received a response.

We have reviewed the entire record and are satisfied that D.C.'s attorney has fully complied with his responsibilities and that no arguable issue exists. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 441.)

The order is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

TANGEMAN, J.

We concur:

YEGAN, Acting P. J.

PERREN, J.

Kevin J. McGee, Judge

Sui	perior	Court	County	of '	Ventura
$\sim \alpha_1$	OCTIOI	Coard	Country	OI	VCIIVAIA

David Ross Greifinger, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.